

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/803,729	03/18/2004	Dana Marie Walker	100041-41193	6036	
27805 7	590 08/11/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THOMPSON HINE L.L.P.			CARTER, MO	CARTER, MONICA SMITH	
2000 COURTH	HOUSE PLAZA , N.E.				
10 WEST SEC	OND STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DAYTON, OH 45402			3722		

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					/			
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/803,	729	WALKER ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
			S. Carter	3722				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communion Reply	nication appears on ti	he cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provision of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (0) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum is ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. Is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no emunication. It is a reply within the statutory period will apply and by will, by statute, cause the apply will, by statute, cause the apply will, by statute, cause the apply and the statute of the apply will, by statute, cause the apply will will be apply will	event, however, may a re atutory minimum of thirt will expire SIX (6) MON oplication to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status	, , ,							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 18 March 200	4					
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from c						
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the training training that any objected to by the training trainin	e: a)□ accepted or b						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to				• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Apnents have been ule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	,			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🔀 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or tr No(s)/Mail Date 7/15/04.		Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 7-14, 16-21, 25-32 and 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Attachment A (submitted by applicant, hereinafter "A") or Attachment B (submitted by applicant, hereinafter "B").

A or B discloses a front cover having a plurality of openings located generally adjacent to a binding edge of the front cover; a back cover having a plurality of openings located generally adjacent to a binding edge of the back cover; a plurality of sheets of paper each having a plurality of openings located generally adjacent to a binding edge thereof; a generally helical binding coil having a plurality of turns, wherein each of the plurality of openings of the front cover, the back cover, and the plurality of sheets of paper receives a turn of the coil therethrough to bind said front cover, the back cover, and the plurality of sheets of paper together; and a wire guard having a first edge and a second edge, wherein the first edge is fixedly attached to the front cover generally adjacent to the binding edge of the

Art Unit: 3722

back cover such that the wire guard generally covers an exposed portion of the binding coil.

Regarding claim 2, A or B disclose the coil bound notebook wherein the front cover, the back cover, and the plurality of sheets of paper are each generally rectangular in front view and are generally aligned.

Regarding claim 3, A or B disclose the coil bound notebook wherein each of the front cover, back cover, and plurality of sheets of paper include a free edge oriented generally parallel to the associated binding edge and located on an opposite side thereof.

Regarding claim 7, A or B disclose the coil bound notebook wherein the plurality of openings on the front cover, the back cover, and the plurality of sheets of paper each include at least ten openings arranged generally linearly to form a line that is generally parallel to, and extends along, the associated binding edge.

Regarding claim 8, the coil bound notebook wherein each opening of one of the front cover, back cover, or plurality of sheets of paper are spaced from one another by a uniform distance.

Regarding claim 9, the coil bound notebook wherein the wire guard is attached to front cover and the back cover by stitches extending through the wire guard and at least partially through the associated one of the front or back cover.

Regarding claim 10, the coil bound notebook wherein the notebook is moveable to a closed position such that the front cover and the back cover are generally parallel and facing each other and wherein the plurality of sheets of paper are located therebetween.

Art Unit: 3722

Regarding claim 11, the coil bound notebook wherein the wire guard is pulled tight against the helical binding coil when the notebook is in the closed position.

Regarding claim 12, the coil bound notebook of claim 1 wherein the front cover and the back cover each have at least one of a thickness or stiffness that is greater than a sheet of said plurality of sheets of paper.

Regarding claim 13, the coil bound notebook wherein the wire guard extends generally an entire length of the binding edge of the front cover and the back cover.

Regarding claim 14, the coil bound notebook wherein the wire guard is generally flexible.

Regarding claim 16, the coil bound notebook wherein the binding coil is a generally continuous single element formed in a helical shape.

Regarding claim 17, the coil bound notebook wherein the first edge and the second edge of the wire guard are generally not visible when the notebook is in a closed position such that the front cover and back cover are generally parallel and facing each other with the plurality of sheets located between to provide a hidden seam.

Regarding claims 18-21, 25-32 and 34-38, the method for making a notebook is inherently disclosed in the above rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 5

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over A or B.

A or B discloses the claimed invention except for the sheets of paper being cellulose based material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide any required material for the sheet of paper, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

5. Claims 5, 6, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over A or B in view of Dorsey (2003/0021624).

A or B discloses the claimed invention except for the sheets of paper including a tear guide line extending generally parallel to the binding edge and holes being located generally adjacent to the binding edge and located generally inwardly of the tear guide line.

Dorsey discloses a notebook having perforations (12a, 12b, 12c) in the front cover (4), rear cover (6) and individual pages (9) spaced from the binding

Page 6

Art Unit: 3722

means (10), wherein the pages have holes located generally adjacent the biding edge inwardly of the perforations (see figure 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the sheets of paper of A or B to include perforations, as taught by Dorsey, to enable the sheets of paper to be torn away from the notebook and discarded or used separately from the notebook.

6. Claims 15 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over A or B in view of Su (6,612,771).

A or B discloses the claimed invention except for the notebook having a loop shaped to receive a writing instrument.

Su discloses a loose leaf binding device having a loop (35) attached to the back cover (30) of the notebook for receiving a writing instrument (40a).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the notebook of A or B to include a loop for receiving a writing instrument, as taught by Su, to include a writing instrument integral with the notebook.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references disclose coil bound notebooks.

Art Unit: 3722

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

Page 7

the examiner should be directed to Monica S. Carter whose telephone number is

(703) 305-0305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

(6:30 AM - 4:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Andrea L. Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

August 6, 2004

MONICAS. CARTER